

Performance of Native Fluorescent *Pseudomonas* on *in vitro* Seed Germination and Seedling Vigour of *Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench

S. Ameer Basha^{1*}, G. Raghavendra¹, M. V. Nagesh Kumar¹, K. Dharma Reddy¹ and R. Sudhakar²

¹Regional Agricultural Research Station, ANGRAU, Southern Telangana Zone, Palem, Mahaboobnagar, Andhra Pradesh (509 215), India

²Seed Research and Technology Centre, ANGRAU, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh (500 030), India

Article History

Manuscript No. 409

Received in 28th June, 2013

Received in revised form 15th November, 2013

Accepted in final form 2nd December, 2013

Correspondence to

*E-mail: ameer_sajeli786@yahoo.co.in

Keywords

Rhizospheric soil bacteria, growth promotion, seedling vigour

Abstract

Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR) used in the production of biofertilizers is an effective and economic means to control plant diseases. They enhance seed emergence, plant growth, and yield. Rhizospheric soils of different crops like sunflower, chilli, cotton, groundnut, chickpea, sorghum etc., cultivated in Telangana region of Andhra Pradesh, India, were collected and processed for the isolation of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (Pf) strains. A total of fifty four strains were identified as Pf, and growth promotion test was conducted, thirty three isolates showed potent growth promotion activity and the study exhibited a good picture of efficiency and interaction of Pf strains isolated. Maximum Vigour Index-I was shown by Pf2 whereas Pf8 strain recorded minimum.

1. Introduction

Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) are a group of bacteria that actively colonize plant roots and increase plant growth and yield (Wu et al., 2005). The mechanisms by which PGPR promote plant growth are not fully understood, but are considered to include the ability to produce phytohormones against phytopathogenic microorganisms through the production of siderophores (Egambardiyeva, 2007; Sharoona et al., 2006) and the synthesis of antibiotics, enzymes and/or fungicidal compounds (Ahmad et al., 2006). Significant increase in growth and yield of agronomical important crops in response to inoculation with PGPR has been reported (Asghar et al., 2002; Biswas et al., 2000). *Pseudomonas* strains could affect seed germination and seedling growth (Shaukat et al., 2006). Strains of *Pseudomonas putida* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* were reported to increase root and shoot elongation in canola (Glick et al., 1997). Thus, it has been shown that *Pseudomonas* has the potential for agriculture exploitation and could be used as biofertilizers (Cakmak et al., 2006). Fluorescent *Pseudomonas*, equipped with multiple mechanisms for biocontrol of phytopathogens and plant growth promotion, are being used widely (Banasco et al., 1998; Dileep et al., 1998; Pierson and Weller, 1994) as they produce a wide

variety of antibiotics, chitinolytic enzymes, growth promoting hormones, siderophores, HCN and catalase. The use of PGPR has become a common practice in many regions of the world. Greater application of PGPR is possible in agriculture for biocontrol of plant pathogens and biofertilization (Siddiqui, 2006; Das et al., 2010; Lugtenberg and Kamilova, 2009; Saharan and Nehra, 2011). The bacterial strains isolated from *Lolium perenne* rhizosphere are capable of acting as plant growth promoting bacteria and show various plant growth promoting activities (Shoebitz et al., 2007).

Although the effects of these bacteria on growth and yields had been studied previously, but their effects on growth parameters were not evaluated simultaneously. The main objective of this investigation was to know the different *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strains to explore their effect on seed germination, growth parameters of sorghum seedlings under *in vitro* conditions.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Isolation of *P. fluorescens* (Pf)

Soil samples from the rhizosphere of different crops were collected during the year 2009, from different districts of



Telangana region of Andhra Pradesh, India. Thirty day old plants were uprooted and the roots with enclosed soil were placed in plastic bags and stored at 4° C. For the isolation of bacteria from the rhizospheric soil, 10 g of soil plant⁻¹ was mixed with 90 mL of sterilized water. Serial dilution of the suspension (10⁻¹, 10⁻², 10⁻³, 10⁻⁴, 10⁻⁵ and 10⁻⁶) was prepared; around 0.1 mL from each dilution spread on Kings 'B' media and incubated at 28°C until the bacterial colonies were formed. After incubating for 24 h, the plates were detected under U.V. transilluminator by observing fluorescence effect in Kings 'B' medium and maintained for further use (Prasanna Reddy and Reddy, 2009).

2.2. Growth promotion test with sorghum seed

Plant growth promotion activity of *P. fluorescens* strains was tested with the seeds of sorghum, which was surface sterilized with 0.02% sodium hypochlorite for 2 min and rinsed thoroughly in sterile distilled water. For inoculation, seeds were coated with 1% CMC as an adhesive and rolled into the suspension of bacteria (10⁸ cfu ml⁻¹). Germination test

was carried out by the paper towel method. Ten seeds for each treatment with three replications in completely randomized design was done and incubated in growth chamber at 28°C for 7 days. After incubation, the number of germinated seeds was counted. The root and shoot length of individual seedling was measured in order to determine the Vigour Index-I (Abdul Baki et al., 1973). The formula used for Vigor Index-I is as follows:

Vigor Index-I=(mean root length+mean shoot length)×% seed germination

2.3. Statistical analysis

Results are expressed as mean±standard error of mean (SEm). Statistical significance was determined using one way ANOVA followed by Tukey's test for multiple comparisons. Values with $p < 0.05$ compared with control were considered statistically significant in all cases.

3. Results and Discussion

Table 1: *Pseudomonas fluorescens* isolated from different crops cultivated in Southern Telangana Zone of Andhra Pradesh, India

| Isolate No. | Crop | Geographical location | Isolate No. | Crop | Geographical location |
|-------------|-----------|---|-------------|------------|---|
| Pf1 | Rice | Tudkurthy (Nagarkarnool) ^a | Pf28 | Sun flower | Yadireddipally (Thandoor) ^a |
| Pf2 | Rice | Tudkurthy (Nagarkarnool) ^a | Pf29 | Sun flower | Tallapally (Telkapally) ^a |
| Pf3 | Castor | Palem (Bijneppally) ^a | Pf30 | Chilli | Tallapally (Telkapally) ^a |
| Pf4 | Cotton | Palem (Bijneppally) ^a | Pf31 | Cotton | Indrakol (Nagarkarnool) |
| Pf5 | Chilli | Manganoor (Bijneppally) ^a | Pf32 | Groundnut | Chennur (Gopalpet) ^a |
| Pf6 | Groundnut | Elikacherla (Thimmajipet) ^a | Pf33 | Groundnut | Nellikonduru ^a |
| Pf7 | Rice | Lattupally (Bijneppally) ^a | Pf34 | Groundnut | Sudhakal ^a |
| Pf8 | Rice | Lattupally (Bijneppally) ^a | Pf35 | Groundnut | Gundoor ^a |
| Pf9 | Groundnut | Lattupally (Bijneppally) ^a | Pf36 | Groundnut | Thadoor (Thadoor) ^a |
| Pf10 | Redgram | Vasanthapuram (Bijneppally) ^a | Pf37 | Chickpea | Manganoor (Bijneppally) ^a |
| Pf11 | Castor | Lingasanipally (Bijneppally) ^a | Pf38 | Sorghum | Kondapur (Narayanked) ^b |
| Pf12 | Rice | Kotalgadda (Bijneppally) ^a | Pf39 | Rice | Peddapendyala (Dharmasagar) ^c |
| Pf13 | Sunflower | Lingasanipally (Bijneppally) ^a | Pf40 | Sunflower | Chinnakarupumala (peddakothapally) ^a |
| Pf14 | Rice | Kotalgadda (Bijneppally) ^a | Pf41 | Maize | Peddapendyala (Dharmasagar) ^c |
| Pf15 | Maize | Lingasanipally (Bijneppally) ^a | Pf42 | Sugarcane | Kondapur (Narayanked) ^b |
| Pf16 | Rice | Thimmajipet (Thimmajipet) ^a | Pf43 | Sunflower | Kondapur (Narayanked) ^b |
| Pf17 | Groundnut | Vattem (Bijneppally) ^a | Pf44 | Rice | Wanaparthy (Wanaparthy) ^a |
| Pf18 | Cotton | Edirepally (Thimmajipet) ^a | Pf45 | Chickpea | Kondapur (Narayanked) ^b |
| Pf19 | Rice | Kodkurthy (Bijneppally) ^a | Pf46 | Maize | Chinnakarupumala (Peddakothapally) ^a |
| Pf20 | Rice | Vattem (Bijneppally) ^a | Pf47 | Chilli | Peddapendyala (Dharmasagar) ^c |
| Pf21 | Rice | Edirepally (Thimmajipet) ^a | Pf48 | Rice | Chinnakarupumala (Peddakothapally) ^a |
| Pf22 | Castor | Nagarkurnool ^a | Pf49 | Sorghum | Atchampet (Achampet) ^a |
| Pf23 | Groundnut | Vattem (Bijneppally) ^a | Pf50 | Sorghum | Palem (Bijneppally) ^a |
| Pf24 | Redgram | Palem (Bijneppally) ^a | Pf51 | Parthenium | Nagarkurnool (Nagarkurnool) ^a |
| Pf25 | Chilli | Nadigadda ^a | Pf52 | Parthenium | Palem (Bijneppally) ^a |
| Pf26 | Maize | Thadoor (Thadoor) ^a | Pf53 | Rice | Vanasthalipuram (Hyderabad) ^d |
| Pf27 | Chilli | Karvanga (Telkapally) ^a | Pf54 | Rice | Lingotam (Achampet) ^a |

^aMahabubnagar District; ^bMedak District; ^cWarangal District; ^dRanga Reddy District

A total of eighty three bacteria were isolated from the rhizospheric soil of different crops (Table 1). Among them, fifty four isolates were identified as *P. fluorescens* (Pf) and twenty nine as non-Pf bacteria using U.V. transilluminator, by observing fluorescence in Kings 'B' medium and showed gram-negative reaction.

Seed inoculation with Pf strains significantly enhanced seed germination and seedling vigor of sorghum seed. However, the rate of enhancement varied with different bacterial isolates. Thirty eight Pf isolates were found to increase the Vigor Index-I over the non-treated control (Table 2). The highest enhancement of vigor index (1395) and root length (7.4) was obtained from Pf2, while lowest (150) by Pf54. In case of shoot length Pf19 showed maximum (4.4) whereas Pf8 recorded minimum (0.38).

Bacterial inoculants are able to increase plant growth and germination rate, improve seedling emergence and protect plants from disease (Lugtenberg et al., 2002; Ashrafuzzaman et al., 2009). Gholami et al. (2009) reported that, inoculation of maize seed with PGPR increased the seed germination and seedling growth. Our studies revealed that under *in vitro* conditions, seed treatment with Pf improved seed germination and seedling vigor over the control (Raju et al., 1999; Niranjana et al., 2004; Niranjana et al., 2003; Das et al., 2010). These findings might be due to the augmented synthesis of hormones like gibberellins and auxins (Bharathi et al., 2004). As Agricultural practices become more sustainable, there is an increasing need for ecologically sound methods of disease control. Soil *Pseudomonads* possess a variety of promising properties which make them better biocontrol agents. Although the present study is just an initiative, it helps

Table 2: Effect of *P. fluorescens* inoculations on seed germination and other parameters of sorghum under *in vitro* conditions

| Treatment | Shoot length | Root length | Vigour Index-I | Treatment | Shoot length | Root length | Vigour Index-I |
|-----------|--------------|-------------|----------------|-----------|--------------|-------------|----------------|
| Control | 0.45±0.1 | 2.91±0.1 | 414±19.8 | Pf28 | 1.75±2.4 | 6.75±4.8 | 395±21.2 |
| Pf1 | 2.37±0.3 | 5.15±0.2 | 445±6.7 | Pf29 | 2.51±1.4 | 7.02±2.8 | 240±14.8 |
| Pf2 | 2.87±3.0 | 7.40±2.3 | 1395±7.0 | Pf30 | 2.87±0.5 | 6.45±1.1 | 379±15.5 |
| Pf3 | 0.92±0.6 | 7.31±0.5 | 824±12.7 | Pf31 | 1.0±1.4 | 3.55±3.7 | 422±23.3 |
| Pf4 | 1.27±1.3 | 4.90±1.3 | 802±4.9 | Pf32 | 1.15±1.6 | 3.99±5.5 | 592±16.4 |
| Pf5 | 1.91±1.5 | 5.82±0.8 | 655±7.0 | Pf33 | 1.50±1.0 | 5.43±2.3 | 437±24.0 |
| Pf6 | 1.72±1.4 | 6.47±3.0 | 904±7.5 | Pf34 | 0.75±0.6 | 3.78±0.8 | 297±3.6 |
| Pf7 | 0.67±0.0 | 4.75±0.0 | 434±2.8 | Pf35 | 2.37±0.5 | 6.08±0.8 | 483±35.3 |
| Pf8 | 0.38±0.1 | 6.66±1.9 | 847±4.9 | Pf36 | 0.78±0.3 | 3.12±0.9 | 350±14.4 |
| Pf9 | 0.85±0.8 | 5.62±1.1 | 623±4.5 | Pf37 | 2.83±0.4 | 4.51±0.9 | 504±6.2 |
| Pf10 | 1.02±0.8 | 7.07±2.3 | 823±13.4 | Pf38 | 2.93±0.2 | 5.93±2.4 | 275±7.0 |
| Pf11 | 1.16±1.3 | 5.20±0.9 | 676±8.2 | Pf39 | 3.22±0.8 | 3.75±0.3 | 520±13.8 |
| Pf12 | 2.21±0.0 | 4.10±0.0 | 515±6.6 | Pf40 | 3.83±1.1 | 7.24±0.8 | 645±6.9 |
| Pf13 | 3.17±0.2 | 6.25±1.7 | 322±16.9 | Pf41 | 3.33±0.4 | 4.15±0.1 | 293±10.6 |
| Pf14 | 1.15±0.1 | 6.91±1.2 | 717±4.2 | Pf42 | 2.33±0.0 | 6.86±1.1 | 589±9.7 |
| Pf15 | 2.05±0.7 | 6.25±1.1 | 479±10.6 | Pf43 | 0.72±0.9 | 4.75±1.0 | 406±11.3 |
| Pf16 | 2.18±0.4 | 6.25±0.4 | 660±14.1 | Pf44 | 1.46±1.2 | 4.31±3.2 | 523±18.2 |
| Pf17 | 0.97±0.0 | 5.91±0.6 | 576±8.2 | Pf45 | 2.57±0.7 | 5.53±1.0 | 525±21.2 |
| Pf18 | 1.87±0.1 | 6.50±2.2 | 268±3.5 | Pf46 | 1.51±0.8 | 4.38±1.1 | 715±20.8 |
| Pf19 | 4.66±0.4 | 5.62±0.2 | 793±18.1 | Pf47 | 2.53±0.7 | 4.75±1.7 | 425±21.2 |
| Pf20 | 3.66±0.9 | 5.91±0.1 | 820±9.4 | Pf48 | 2.03±0.7 | 6.03±0.0 | 305±7.0 |
| Pf21 | 0.68±0.1 | 4.17±0.6 | 412±3.1 | Pf49 | 1.57±0.0 | 1.51±2.7 | 404±8.3 |
| Pf22 | 3.47±0.3 | 6.18±0.3 | 758±11.0 | Pf50 | 2.66±1.8 | 4.07±0.0 | 320±0.1 |
| Pf23 | 0.52±0.3 | 3.11±1.1 | 358±15.9 | Pf51 | 2.87±0.5 | 4.33±0.2 | 615±7.0 |
| Pf24 | 0.4±0.2 | 5.84±3.4 | 873±17.6 | Pf52 | 1.68±0.4 | 5.12±1.2 | 431±15.2 |
| Pf25 | 3.0±0.0 | 5.41±0.8 | 460±14.0 | Pf53 | 1.75±1.1 | 5.0±0.0 | 584±23.3 |
| Pf26 | 2.15±0.6 | 5.53±0.7 | 842±31.1 | Pf54 | 0.58±0.6 | 4.25±2.5 | 150±13.8 |
| Pf27 | 2.15±0.9 | 5.22±1.3 | 444±25.4 | | | | |

All values are mean±SEm of samples in triplicate. Vigour index-I values were significant at $p<0.05$ compared to control except Pf21



in better understanding and utilization of *P. fluorescens* as a biofertilizer and biocontrol agent, finally it strengthens the vigour in the plant.

4. Conclusion

Our studies revealed that under *in vitro* conditions, seed treatment with *Pf* strains improved seed germination and seedling vigor over the control. Our results accounted, increased seed vigor over non treated control by *P. fluorescens*. These findings might be due to the augmented synthesis of hormones like gibberellins and auxins.

5. Acknowledgements

The corresponding author is thankful to University Grant Commission (UGC), Government of India, New Delhi, for the financial assistance through Major Research Grant.

6. References

- Abdul Baki, A.A., Anderson, J.D., 1973. Vigor determination in soyabean seed by multiple criteria. *Crop Science* 13, 630-633.
- Ahmad, F., Ahmad, I., Khan, M.S., 2006. Screening of free-living rhizospheric bacteria for their multiple plant growth promoting activities. *Microbiology Research* 36, 1-9.
- Asghar, H.N., Zahir, Z.A., Arshad, M., Khaliq, A., 2002. Relationship between *in vitro* production of auxins by rhizobacteria and their growth promoting activities in *Brassica juncea*. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* 35, 231-237.
- Ashrafuzzaman, M., Akhtar Hossen, F., Razi Ismail, M., Anamul Hoque, Md., Zahurul Islam, M., Shahidullah, S.M., Meon, S., 2009. Efficiency of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) for the enhancement of rice growth. *African Journal of Biotechnology* 8(7), 1247-1252.
- Banasco, P., Fuente, L., De, La., Gaultieri, G., Noya, F., Arias, A., 1998. *Fluorescent Pseudomonas* sp. as biocontrol agents against forage legume root pathogenic fungi. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 10(10-11), 1317-1323.
- Bharathi, R., Vivekananthan, R., Harish, S., Ramanathan, A., Samiyappan, R., 2004. Rhizobacteria-based bioformulations for the management of fruit rot infection in chillies. *Crop Protection* 23, 835-843.
- Biswas, J.C., Ladha, L.K., Dazzo, F.B., 2000. Rhizobia inoculation improves nutrient uptake and growth of low land rice. *Journal of Soil Science* 64, 1644-1650.
- Cakmak, R.I., Aydin, D.F., Sahin, A.F., 2006. Growth promotion of plants by plant growth promoting rhizobacteria under green house and two different field soil conditions. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 38, 1482-1487.
- Das, I.K., Annapurna, A., Seetharama, N., 2010. Rhizosphere competence of biocontrol agent *Bacillus subtilis* strain *SRB28* from sorghum. *Indian Phytopathology* 63(4), 375-379.
- Dileep, C., Kumar, B.S.D., Dube, H.C., 1998. Promotion of plant growth and yield by two rhizoplane *fluorescent Pseudomonas*. *Indian Journal of Experimental Biology* 36(4), 399-402.
- Egambardiyeva, D., 2007. The effect of plant growth promoting bacteria on growth and nutrient uptake of maize in two different soils. *Applied Soil Ecology* 36, 184-189.
- Gholami, A., Shahsavani, S., Nezarat, S., 2009. The effect of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) on germination, seedling growth and yield of maize. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology* 49, 19-24.
- Glick, B.R., Changping, L., Sibdas, G., Dumbroff, E.B., 1997. Early development of canola seedlings in the presence of the plant growth promoting rhizobacterium *Pseudomonas putida* GR12-2. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 24, 1233-1239.
- Lugtenberg, B., Chin-A-Woeng, T., Bloemberg, G., 2002. Microbe-plant interactions principles and mechanisms. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* 81, 373-383.
- Lugtenberg, B., Kamilova, F., 2009. Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria. *Annual Review of Microbiology* 63, 541-556.
- Niranjan, S.R., Deepak, S.A., Basavaraju, P., Shetty, H.S., Reddy, M.S., Kloepper, J.W., 2003. Comparative performance of formulations of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria in growth promotion and suppression of downy mildew in pearl millet. *Crop Protection* 22, 579-588.
- Niranjan, S.R., Shetty, N.P., Shetty, H.S., 2004. Seed bio-priming with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* isolates enhances growth of pearl millet plants and induces resistance against downy mildew. *Journal of Pest Management* 50, 41-48.
- Pierson, E.A., Weller, D.M., 1994. Use of mixture of *fluorescent Pseudomonas* to suppress take-all and improve growth of wheat. *Journal of Phytopathology* 84(9), 940-947.
- Prasanna Reddy, B., Reddy, M.S., 2009. Isolation of secondary metabolites from *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and its characterization. *Asian Journal of Research Chemistry* 2(1), 26-29.
- Raju, N.S., Niranjana, S.R., Janardhana, G.R., Prakash, H.S., Shetty, H.S., Mathur, S.B., 1999. Improvement of seed quality and field emergence of *Fusarium moniliforme* infected sorghum seeds using biological agents. *Journal*

- of Science, Food and Agriculture 79, 206-212.
- Saharan, B.S., Nehra, V., 2011. Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria: A Critical Review. Life Science Medical Research 21, 1-30.
- Shaharoona, B., Arshad, M., Zahir, Z.A., Khalid, A., 2006. Performance of *Pseudomonas* sp. containing ACC-deaminase-1 for improving growth and yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.) in the presence of nitrogenous fertilizer. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 38, 2971-2975.
- Shaukat, K., Affrasayab, S., Hasnain, S., 2006. Growth responses of *Helianthus annuus* to plant growth promoting rhizobacteria used as biofertilizer. Journal of Agricultural Research 1, 573-581.
- Shoebitz, M., Ribaud, C.M., Pardo, M.A., Cantore, M.L., Ciampi, L., Cura, J. A., 2007. Plant growth promoting properties of a strain of *Enterobacter ludwigii* isolated from *Lolium perenne* rhizosphere. Biochemistry Journal 41(9), 1768-1774.
- Siddiqui, Z., 2006. PGPR: Prospective Biocontrol Agents of Plant Pathogens. PGPR: Biocontrol and Biofertilization 111,142.
- Wu, S.C., Cao, Z.H., Li, Z.G., Cheung, K.C., Wong, M.H., 2005. Effects of biofertilizer containing N-fixer, P and K solubilizers and AM fungi on maize growth: a green house trial. Geoderma 125, 155-166.