Growth, Productivity and Economics of Hybrid Rice as Influenced by Fertility Level and Plant Density

S. Mondal, A. Bauri, K. Pramanik, M. Ghosh, G. C. Malik and D. C. Ghosh*

Institute of Agriculture (Palli Siksha Bhavana), Visva-Bharati, Sriniketan, West Bengal (731 236), India

Article History

Manuscript No. c324
Received in 23rd December, 2012
Received in revised form 5th September, 2013
Accepted in final form 4th December, 2013

Correspondence to

*E-mail: dcghosh@rediffmail.com

Keywords

Hybrid rice, plant density, fertility level, productivity, economics

Abstract

A field experiment conducted during kharif 2010 and 2011 at the Institute of Agriculture, Visva Bharati, Sriniketan, West Bengal, India showed that application of 125 kg N, 62.5 kg P₂O₅ and 62.5 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ (F₂) improved the growth attributes (plant height, number of tillers m⁻², leaf area index (LAI), dry matter accumulation, and crop growth rate) and increased yield components (number of panicles m⁻², panicle length, number of spikelets panicle⁻¹, number of grains panicle⁻¹ and test weight) that led to high crop productivity of hybrid rice. Increase in plant density increased the plant height, tillers m⁻², LAI, dry matter production and CGR. Panicles m⁻² increased with increasing plant density; but all other yield components like panicle length, spikelets panicle⁻¹, grains panicle⁻¹ and test weight decreased with increasing plant density. Both grain and straw yield increased by increasing plant density. Crop at medium plant density (33 hills m⁻²) with 125 kg N, 62.5 kg P₂O₅ and 62.5 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ (F₂P₂) produced the highest grain yield (7039 kg ha⁻¹) and paid the highest gross (₹ 87970 ha⁻¹) and net returns (₹ 59695 ha⁻¹). Higher growth attributes and greater yield components of hybrid rice at F₂P₂ combination led to high grain productivity (7039) kg ha⁻¹). The study advocates growing of hybrid rice at a plant density of 33 hills m⁻² $(20 \times 15 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ spacing})$ with 125 kg N, 62.5 kg P,O₅ and 62.5 kg K,O ha⁻¹ (F,P₅) for its high productivity and profitability.

1. Introduction

Rice (Oryza sativa L.) is the staple food providing about two-thirds of the calories for more than two billion people in humid and sub-humid Asia and one-third of the calorie intake of nearly one billion people in Africa and Latin America. It is cultivated in about 154.3 mha which produce about 634.6 mt of rice grain (FAO, 2009). Since the mid-sixties, when semidwarf varieties triggered the green revolution, rice production has increased at 2.4% per year, faster than the rate of population growth (Nguyen, 2010). Achieving self-sufficiency in rice production and maintaining price stability are important political objectives in low-income countries because of the importance of this crop in providing national food security and generating employment and income for low-income people (Ghosh et al., 2009). Most Asian countries have done remarkably well in meeting the food needs of the growing population in the last quarter century. However, the future poses even more challenging and ambitious tasks. The yield ceilings of rice varieties of the green revolution era must be lifted yet again because by 2020 global rice demand would be about

753 mt of paddy in 2030 and about 781 mt of paddy in 2050 (Xie et al., 2007). The gaps between global rice production in 2006 and the projected rice demand in 2030 and in 2050 are considerably large. Producing more rice to fill these gaps will be essential for ensuring food security and poverty reduction in the 21st century (Nguyen, 2010). This production increase must comes from less land, less labour, less water and less pesticides and it must be sustainable. The productivity of rice in India and China are 2.69 and 5.73 t ha-1 respectively. High rice productivity of China is mainly due to cultivation of hybrid rice. The yield of hybrid rice was about 8.0 to 10.0 t ha⁻¹ with a yield advantage of 30% over conventional varieties (Peng et al., 2010). Hybrid rice cultivation is a technology which was fully utilized in China where its exploitation has paid rich dividend to alleviate hunger and poverty (Qing, 2010). China has extended the cultivation of hybrid rice to more than half of its total rice land by 1990 to emerge as the world's largest rice producer. Most studies on optimizing hybrid rice management were conducted with temperate hybrid rice in China. These strategies cannot be adopted directly for tropical hybrid rice.

With the rapid progress in developing tropical hybrid rice,

© 2013 PP House

physiology-based management strategies need to be developed for maximizing the grain yield of tropical hybrid rice (Zhu et al., 2010). Using hybrid rice may be an appropriate strategy to meet the challenge of food security. The response of recent rice hybrids to various levels of fertility and plant density needs to be studied thoroughly to get in insight into the physiological basis of yield variation in hybrid rice. The present study was, therefore, undertaken to investigate how crop nutrition and plant density influence the growth and productivity of hybrid rice.

2. Materials and Methods

A field experiment was conducted during the *kharif* season of 2010 and 2011 to study the effect of plant density and fertility level on growth and productivity of hybrid rice at the farm of the Institute of Agriculture (Palli Siksha Bhavana), Visva-Bharati, Sriniketan, West Bengal. The place is situated at 23°39′ N latitude, 87°42′ E longitude and an elevation of 58.9 m above mean sea level. Normally the area receives about 1000 mm rainfall during the *kharif* season (July to October). But there was low rainfall during the crop season of both the years (672 mm in 2010 and 720 mm in 2011). The deficiency in rainfall was 32.2% in 2010 and 27.4% in 2011. The soil of the experimental plot was sandy loam in texture (60.0% sand, 23.2% silt and 16.8% clay), neutral in reaction (pH 6.7) medium in organic carbon (0.63%) and low in available N (193.4 kg ha⁻¹), medium in available P (12.4 kg ha⁻¹) and K (171.0 kg ha⁻¹) status.

The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with three replications in 5×2 m² plots with three plant densities (25, 33 and 50 plants m^{-2}) and four fertility levels (F₁=75-37.5-37.5, $F_2=100-50-50$, $F_3=125-62.5-62.5$ and $F_4=150-75-75$ kg ha⁻¹ NPK respectively). The hybrid rice PHB 71 and 25P25 were transplanted on July 30, 2010 and July 28, 2011, respectively taking single seedling hill-1 at three plant densities (hill spacings of 20×20 cm², 20×15 cm² and 20×10 cm²). Both the hybrids mature in 130-135 days during *kharif* season and are suitable for cultivation in both kharif and dry seasons under alluvial and lateritic belts of West Bengal. All plots received full dose of P and K and 1/4th N fertilizer at basal and remaining N fertilizer in three equal splits-at mid-tillering, panicle initiation and flowering as per treatments. The field was irrigated as and when required. Insects were controlled by chemicals to avoid biomass and yield loss. No fungicide was applied under the study. The weeds were removed manually at 20 and 40 days after transplanting (DAT). Harvesting was done on November 11, 2010 and November 7, 2011.

The observation on plant height was recorded from 10 hills randomly selected in each plot at different stages and their average was worked out. Tiller number from the same hills was also recorded at 45 DAT. Samples for biomass has been collected from 5 hills from ear-marked area in each plot

and fresh weights were recorded. The plant samples then separated into stem (leaf sheath+stem), green leaves (lamina) and panicles and kept in separate paper packets which in turn placed in an oven for drying at 65-70°C till constant weights were obtained. The dry weight of leaves, stems and panicles were noted and converted into g m⁻². The sum of the dry weights was taken as the total dry matter accumulation (DMA). The dry weight of leaves was used for determining leaf area index (LAI) as suggested by Watson (1952). DMA at different stages was used for determining crop growth rate (CGR). Observation on yield parameters like panicle length, number of panicles m⁻², number of spikelets and filled grains panicle⁻¹, test weight along with grain yield, straw yield and harvest index were recorded at maturity. The economics of hybrid rice was worked out considering the prevailing market price of the inputs and outputs. The data were statistically analyzed applying the techniques of analysis of variance and the significance of different sources of variations were tested by error mean square of Fisher Snedecor's 'F' test at probability level 0.05 (Cochran and Cox, 1977).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Growth attributes

Plant height at all the growth stages and tillering increased steadily due to increasing level of fertilizer application during both the years of the study. The tallest plants and highest number of tillers m-2 were recorded in both the hybrids receiving 150 kg N, 75 kg P₂O₅ and 75 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ (F₄), but was comparable to those of its immediate lower (F₂) level (125 kg N, 62.5 kg P₂O₅ and 62.5 kg K₂O ha⁻¹). Under these treatments significantly taller plants and greater number of tillers m⁻² were recorded compared to that at low fertility level (F_1) . Both the hybrids at low fertility (F_1) level (75 kg N, 37.5) kg P₂O₅ and 37.5 kg K₂O ha⁻¹) produced dwarf plants at all the growth stages and lowest number of tillers m⁻² among all other fertility treatments in both the years (Table 1). Tiller production did not vary much between the two hybrids, but 25P25 hybrid produced somewhat taller plants than that of PHB 71. It appeared that hybrid rice required high rate of fertilizer application for better growth of the crop as reflected by its tillering and plant height. The results are in conformity with the findings of Peng et al. (2006). Plant density showed significant positive effect on plant height and tiller production in both rice hybrids. Increasing plant density steadily increased plant height at all the growth stages and number of tillers m⁻² (Table 1). The tallest plants and highest number of tillers (506 tillers m⁻² in PHB 71 and 468 tillers m⁻² in 25P25) were produced at high plant density (50 plants m⁻²) and were significantly greater than that obtained at lower plant densities in both the years. Dwarf plants at different stages and lowest number of tillers (306 tillers m⁻² in PHB 71 and 304 tillers m⁻² in 25P25) were recorded in crop at low plant density (25 plants m⁻²). The

tropical hybrids are moderate in tillering and required close spacing to attain optimum number of tillers m⁻² as compared to the inbred varieties (Siddig et al., 1996). Fertility level exerted significant effect on LAI, DMA and CGR in both the hybrids at all the growth stages in the respective years of the study. Maximum LAI, DMA and CGR were recorded in crop at high fertility level (F₄); but were comparable to those obtained at its immediate low fertility level (F₃). Both the above fertility levels produced significantly higher values of LAI, DMA and CGR than those recorded at lower fertility levels (F_1 and F_2) in most of the stages during both the years (Tables 2 and 3). Rice hybrids at low fertility (F₁) produced the lowest values of LAI, DMA and CGR as compared to those at all other fertility levels in most of the growth stages. Higher fertility levels (F₄ and F₃) increased DMA by 20 to 52% over low fertility level (F₁) at different stages due to increased CGR. Two hybrids did not respond differently to fertility level in producing LAI, DMA and CGR under the study. Wang et al. (2001) noticed that moderate LAI (5.0) functioning for longer duration was more important than maximum LAI functioning for short period on influencing the crop productivity. In our study, the crop at higher fertility levels (F₄ and F₃) produced LAI around 5.0 at panicle initiation (45 DAT) and maintained it up to flowering (65 DAT). High LAI at higher fertility levels functioning during tillering to flowering resulted in high CGR that caused further increase in DMA during the reproductive period leading high crop productivity (Peng et al., 2002; Huang et al., 2008). The two hybrids responded significantly to plant density on recording LAI, DMA and CGR. The highest values of LAI and DMA was recorded in both the hybrids at the highest plant density (50 plants m⁻²) and was significantly greater than what obtained at lower plant densities (25 and 33 hills m⁻²) at all the growth stages during both the years. The highest CGR values in PHB 71 in 2010 was recorded at the highest plant density (50 plants m⁻²), but were comparable to that of the medium plant density (33 plants m⁻²) in all the cases. The lowest values of LAI, DMA and CGR of both hybrids were produced by the crop at the low plant density (25 hills m⁻²) at all the growth stages (Tables 2 and 3). However, 25P25 recorded high CGR at high plant density during 25-45 DAT and at medium plant density during 45-65 DAT in 2011. The CGR of 25P25 during 65-85 DAT did not vary among the plant densities in 2011. The results indicated that tropical rice hybrids required close spacing for higher LAI development that was responsible for greater CGR and DMA than those of wide spacing. The results corroborate the findings of Lin et al. (2009).

3.2. Yield components

Both the rice hybrids responded well to fertility level in improving the yield components. All the yield components like number of panicles m⁻², panicle length, number of spikelets and filled grains panicle-1 and test weight of both the hybrids increased steadily up to the application F₃ and were comparable to F₄ (Table 4). Both F₃ and F₄ recorded significantly higher number of panicles m⁻², longer panicle length, higher number of spikelets and filled grains panicle-1, higher test weight than what obtained at lower fertility levels (F_1 and F_2). The crop at low fertility level (F₁) produced the lowest values of all the yield components, but was comparable to those obtained at F₂ in most of the cases during both the years. The tropical rice hybrids are panicle size (large panicles) and require adequate nutrition for producing higher number of large size panicles (Buresh et al., 2005). Application of lower dose of fertilizer (F₁ and F₂) did not mitigate the nutrient need of the crop

| Table 1: Effect of fertility level and plant density on plant height and tillering of hybrid rice | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-----|-----|--|--|
| Treatments | | Plant height (cm) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fertility level | | 2010 (F | PHB 71) | | | 2011 (| 2010 | 2011 | | | | |
| (NPK kg ha ⁻¹) | 25 DAT | 45 DAT | 65 DAT | 85 DAT | 25 DAT | 45 DAT | 65 DAT | 85 DAT | | | | |
| F ₁ * | 57.1 | 79.3 | 97.4 | 117.2 | 64.1 | 82.1 | 104.2 | 122.5 | 329 | 318 | | |
| F_2 | 61.6 | 83.4 | 102.2 | 122.7 | 67.5 | 85.0 | 108.7 | 126.4 | 387 | 350 | | |
| $\overline{F_3}$ | 65.8 | 86.8 | 105.9 | 123.2 | 68.0 | 86.6 | 110.3 | 128.1 | 431 | 403 | | |
| F_4 | 66.5 | 88.1 | 107.0 | 125.8 | 69.6 | 88.1 | 112.8 | 131.8 | 436 | 430 | | |
| SEm <u>+</u> | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 12 | 10 | | |
| CD(p=0.05) | 2.7 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 4.6 | 2.3 | 2.9 | 4.1 | 3.7 | 34 | 30 | | |
| Plant density (plan | its m ⁻²) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| P ₁ (25) | 60.1 | 81.6 | 100.2 | 119.1 | 65.1 | 83.2 | 105.4 | 124.2 | 306 | 304 | | |
| $P_{2}(33)$ | 63.1 | 84.9 | 104.1 | 123.2 | 67.5 | 85.9 | 109.2 | 127.8 | 375 | 354 | | |
| $P_{3}(50)$ | 65.1 | 86.6 | 105.1 | 124.2 | 69.4 | 87.3 | 111.9 | 129.5 | 506 | 468 | | |
| SEm <u>+</u> | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 10 | 9 | | |
| CD(p=0.05) | 2.3 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 29 | 26 | | |

* F_1 =75-16.7-3 $\overline{1.3}$; F_2 =100-22.2-41.7; F_3 =125-27.8-52.1; F_4 =150-33.3-62.5 kg NPK ha⁻¹ respectively; P_1 =25 plants m⁻² at 20×20 cm²; P_2 =33 plants m⁻² at 20×15 cm²; P_3 =50 plants m⁻² at 20×10 cm²



| Table 2: I | Table 2: Effect of fertility level and plant density on leaf area index and dry matter accumulation of hybrid rice | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|--|---------|-------------------|------|------|---------|--------|--|------|---------|--------|------|-----|---------|--------|------|
| Treat- | Leaf area index (LAI) | | | | | | | Dry matter accumulation (m ⁻²) | | | | | | | | |
| ments [§] | 2 | 2010 (F | PHB 71 | 1) | | 2011 (2 | 25P25) |) | | 2010 (P | HB 71) | | | 2011 (2 | 25P25) | |
| | 25 | 45 | 65 | 85 | 25 | 45 | 65 | 85 | 25 | 45 | 65 | 85 | 25 | 45 | 65 | 85 |
| | DAT | DAT | DAT | DAT | DAT | DAT | DAT | DAT | DAT | DAT | DAT | DAT | DAT | DAT | DAT | DAT |
| F_1^* | 1.61 | 3.81 | 3.92 | 1.11 | 1.67 | 3.87 | 3.85 | 1.47 | 128 | 356 | 661 | 847 | 130 | 356 | 587 | 776 |
| F_2 | 1.76 | 4.48 | 5.08 | 1.40 | 1.79 | 4.78 | 4.50 | 1.63 | 143 | 402 | 771 | 1074 | 142 | 395 | 743 | 952 |
| F_3 | 1.96 | 5.04 | 5.80 | 1.52 | 1.97 | 5.65 | 5.19 | 1.76 | 157 | 447 | 839 | 1278 | 153 | 452 | 850 | 1098 |
| F_4 | 1.98 | 5.35 | 6.01 | 1.59 | 2.03 | 6.02 | 5.45 | 1.81 | 158 | 476 | 862 | 1295 | 160 | 482 | 895 | 1146 |
| SEm <u>+</u> | 0.04 | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.03 | 4.4 | 15.0 | 21.1 | 20.0 | 2.9 | 12.0 | 17.8 | 17.6 |
| CD^{**} | 0.10 | 0.53 | 0.56 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.47 | 0.50 | 0.10 | 12.9 | 44.1 | 61.4 | 59.4 | 8.7 | 35.3 | 52.3 | 51.7 |
| Plant den | sity (pl | ants m | n ⁻²) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| P ₁ (25) | 1.11 | 4.14 | 4.70 | 1.29 | 1.61 | 4.58 | 4.35 | 1.52 | 112 | 362 | 708 | 1026 | 120 | 370 | 707 | 929 |
| $P_{2}(33)$ | 1.83 | 4.66 | 5.23 | 1.41 | 1.91 | 5.06 | 4.88 | 1.64 | 140 | 419 | 775 | 1122 | 137 | 412 | 780 | 993 |
| $P_{3}(50)$ | 2.54 | 5.21 | 5.67 | 1.52 | 2.04 | 5.60 | 5.39 | 1.85 | 188 | 481 | 866 | 1223 | 183 | 482 | 820 | 1057 |
| SEm± | 0.04 | 0.16 | 0.13 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.03 | 3.8 | 13.0 | 18.5 | 20.5 | 2.6 | 10.4 | 15.5 | 15.3 |
| CD^{**} | 0.12 | 0.46 | 0.37 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.44 | 0.46 | 0.09 | 11.2 | 38.2 | 54.1 | 60.1 | 7.5 | 30.5 | 45.3 | 44.8 |

 CD^{**} : CD(p=0.05); \$: Fertility level (NPK kg ha⁻¹)

Table 3: Effect of fertility level and plant density on crop growth rate (CGR) of hybrid rice

| Treatments | Crop | Growt | h Rate (| CGR in | g m ⁻² d | ay-1) | | |
|--------------------------|----------|-------------------|----------|--------------|---------------------|-------|--|--|
| Fertility | 201 | 0 (PHB | 71) | 2011 (25P25) | | | | |
| level (NPK | 25-45 | 45-65 | 65-85 | 25-45 | 45-65 | 65-85 | | |
| in kg ha ⁻¹) | DAT | DAT | DAT | DAT | DAT | DAT | | |
| F ₁ * | 11.4 | 15.3 | 9.3 | 11.3 | 11.6 | 9.5 | | |
| F_2 | 13.0 | 18.5 | 15.2 | 12.7 | 17.4 | 10.5 | | |
| F_3 | 14.5 | 19.6 | 22.0 | 15.0 | 19.9 | 12.4 | | |
| F_4 | 15.9 | 19.3 | 21.7 | 16.1 | 20.7 | 12.6 | | |
| SEm <u>+</u> | 0.49 | 0.47 | 0.50 | 0.55 | 0.5 | 0.46 | | |
| CD (<i>p</i> =0.05) | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.37 | | |
| Plant density (| plants r | m ⁻²) | | | | | | |
| P ₁ (25) | 12.5 | 17.3 | 15.9 | 12.5 | 16.8 | 11.1 | | |
| P ₂ (33) | 14.0 | 17.8 | 17.4 | 13.8 | 18.4 | 10.7 | | |
| $P_{3}(50)$ | 14.7 | 19.3 | 17.9 | 15.0 | 16.9 | 11.9 | | |
| SEm <u>+</u> | 0.45 | 0.43 | 0.44 | 0.51 | 0.4 | 0.41 | | |
| CD (<i>p</i> =0.05) | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.1 | NS | | |

particularly during its reproductive period resulting in lower number of spikelets and filled grains panicle⁻¹ with low test weight due to its adverse affect on grain filling of hybrid rice (Hu et al., 2007).

Plant density showed significant effect on all the yield components of hybrid rice during both the years. Only panicle production positively influenced by high plant density (P₃) and it recorded the highest number of panicles m⁻² (329 in PHB 71 and 389 in 25P25) which was significantly greater than that of

other plant densities (P₁ and P₂). The lowest number of panicles m⁻² (213 in PHB 71 and 208 in 25P25) was obtained with P during both the years. Panicle length, number of spikelets panicle-1, number of filled grains panicle-1 and test weight decreased markedly by increasing plant density (Table 4). Accordingly the highest values of the above yield parameters were obtained at low plant density (P₁), but were comparable to those of medium plant density (P2). The shortest panicle length, lowest number of spikelets and filled grains panicle-1 and lowest test weight of grain were obtained at high plant density (P₂). However, filled grain percent did not vary much among the different plant densities during both the years. The crop having wide space at low plant density (P₁) developed healthy, strong and long panicles that in turn produced higher number of spikelets and filled grains panicle-1 with high test weight (Huang et al., 2008) and (Pramanik et al., 2013).

3.3. Crop productivity

The fertility level exerted significant effect on grain yield, straw yield and harvest index (HI) of hybrid rice during both the years. The highest grain yield (6809 kg ha⁻¹ of PHB 71 in 2010 and 6850 kg ha⁻¹ of 25P25 in 2011) was produced in crop receiving F₃ fertility level (125 kg N, 62.5 kg P₂O⁵ and 62.5 kg K₂O ha⁻¹); whereas, the highest straw yield (7561 kg ha⁻¹ of PHB 71 in 2010 and 7367 kg ha⁻¹ of 25P25 in 2011) was obtained from the crop at high fertility level of 150 kg N, 75 kg P₂O⁵ and 75 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ (F₄). PHB 71 in 2010 accorded high HI (49.8%) at F₂; but 25P25 in 2011 recorded high HI (49.7%) at F₃. The grain and straw yield did not vary between the above two fertility levels (F₃ and F₄); but both of them

| Treat- | No. of Panicle | | No | No. of | | No. of filled | | Test | | Grain yield | | Straw yield | | Harvest | | |
|---------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------|--------|-----------|---------------|-----------|--------|------|-------------|------|--------------------|------|--------------------|-----------|------|
| ments\$ | panic | les m ⁻² | lengt | h (cm) | spik | spikelets | | grains | | weight (g) | | ha ⁻¹) | (kg | ha ⁻¹) | index (%) | |
| | | | | | panicle-1 | | panicle-1 | | | | , | | | | | |
| | A | В | Α | В | A | В | A | В | Α | В | Α | В | A | В | Α | В |
| F_1^* | 249 | 237 | 24.9 | 27.6 | 111 | 129 | 91 | 111 | 21.2 | 23.3 | 4578 | 4650 | 5232 | 5672 | 46.7 | 45.0 |
| F_2 | 262 | 272 | 28.2 | 28.4 | 131 | 144 | 112 | 122 | 22.4 | 24.3 | 6057 | 6008 | 6103 | 6337 | 49.8 | 48.7 |
| F_3 | 284 | 303 | 30.5 | 29.9 | 152 | 181 | 139 | 152 | 22.5 | 24.4 | 6809 | 6850 | 7222 | 6945 | 48.5 | 49.7 |
| F_4 | 282 | 325 | 29.6 | 29.9 | 148 | 171 | 135 | 146 | 22.2 | 24.0 | 6707 | 6497 | 7560 | 7367 | 47.0 | 46.9 |
| SEm <u>+</u> | 6.5 | 9.3 | 0.46 | 0.38 | 1.8 | 4.0 | 1.8 | 4.0 | 0.24 | 0.2 | 130 | 138 | 209 | 183 | 0.86 | 0.58 |
| CD^{**} | 19.2 | 27.4 | 1.4 | 1.11 | 5.3 | 11.9 | 5.4 | 11.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 379 | 404 | 612 | 538 | 2.51 | 1.71 |
| Plant der | sity (pl | ants m ⁻² | 2) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| P ₁ (25) | 213 | 208 | 29.8 | 29.4 | 146 | 165 | 133 | 143 | 22.5 | 24.4 | 5676 | 5637 | 5747 | 6313 | 49.7 | 47.2 |
| P ₂ (33) | 265 | 257 | 28.3 | 29.2 | 136 | 157 | 121 | 133 | 22.1 | 24.0 | 6171 | 6085 | 6450 | 6445 | 48.9 | 48.6 |
| $P_{3}(50)$ | 329 | 389 | 26.8 | 28.2 | 125 | 147 | 104 | 122 | 21.6 | 23.6 | 6267 | 6281 | 7393 | 6983 | 45.9 | 47.4 |
| SEm <u>+</u> | 5.9 | 8.1 | 0.4 | 0.33 | 1.5 | 3.5 | 1.6 | 3.4 | 0.21 | 0.18 | 112 | 119 | 181 | 159 | 0.74 | 0.5 |
| CD^{**} | 17.1 | 23.7 | 1.2 | 0.97 | 4.6 | 10.3 | 4.7 | 10.1 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 328 | 349 | 530 | 466 | 2.17 | NS |

CD**: CD (*p*=0.05); \$: Fertility level (NPK kg ha⁻¹); A: PHB71 (2010); B: 25P25 (2011)

recorded significantly higher grain and straw yield than those obtained at the lower fertility levels (F₁ and F₂). Similarly HI did not differ significantly between F₂ and F₃; but both of them had higher HI than high (F_{4}) and low (F_{1}) fertility levels. High crop productivity at F₃ and F₄ was mainly responsible for better growth of the crop at greater LAI functioning over critical periods of spikelet formation and grain filling at adequate fertility level (Peng et al., 2006; Huang et al., 2008). The crop at low fertility level (F₁) produced the lowest grain (4578 kg ha⁻¹ of PHB 71 in 2010 and 4650 kg ha⁻¹ of 25P25 in 2011) and straw yields (5232 kg ha⁻¹ of PHB 71 in 2010 and 5672 kg ha⁻¹ of 25P25 in 2011) which were significantly lower than those obtained at other fertility levels (Table 4). The grain yield increased by 30.8% and 48.0% due to application of F₂ and F₃ over F₁ and straw yield increased by 5.4, 20.1 and 37.2% by increasing fertility level of F₂, F₃ and F₄ over F₁. Both high (F₄) and low fertility (F₁) levels accorded low HI during both the years because the crop at F₁ showed poor growth and yield and at F₄ produced grain and straw yield in a similar trend, thus nullified its effect on HI. Over all hybrid rice took longer period (40 days or more) for grain filling and thus produced 25-30% higher grain yield than any high yielding variety. The results are in conformity with the findings of Krishnakumar et al. (2005), Pattanayak et al. (2008) and Lin et al. (2009). Plant density played an important role in regulating the grain and straw yield of hybrid rice. The highest grain yield (6267 kg ha⁻¹ of PHB 71 in 2010 and 6281 kg ha⁻¹ of 25P25 in 2011) was recorded in crop grown at high density (P₂) and was closely followed by the crop raised at medium (P₂) density (6171 kg ha⁻¹ of PHB 71 in 2010 and 6085 kg ha⁻¹ of 25P25 in 2011) Both high and medium density crop produced significantly

higher grain yield than that obtained (5676 and 5637 kg ha⁻¹ in respective years at low density (P₁). The straw yield increased steadily and significantly up to the highest plant density (7393) and 6983 kg ha-1 in 2010 and 2011 respectively) which was significantly superior to that obtained at other plant densities $(P_1 \text{ and } P_2)$. The lowest grain and straw yields were obtained from the crop at P₁. It decreased grain yield by 9.8 and 7.7% and straw yield by 15.9 and 6.5% when compared with those of P₃ and P₂ respectively (Table 4). The results suggested that tropical hybrid rice be planted relatively at closer spacing (P_2) to have adequate number of panicles m⁻² necessary for obtaining high grain yield. The results corroborate the findings of Jayawardena and Abeysekera (2005). But, Lin et al. (2009) noticed that wide spacing was favourable for increasing growth and productivity of temperate rice in China because of long vegetative growth period of temperate hybrids as compared to the tropical hybrids. HI showed significant response to plant density in 2010; but in 2011, it did not vary significantly among the plant densities. The highest value of HI (49.7%) was obtained from PHB 71 in 2010 at P, which was closely followed by P, (48.9%) Both P₁ and P₂ accorded significantly higher HI than what obtained at P₂ (45.9%). The results indicate that rice hybrids at high density become less efficient in partitioning photosynthate in to the reproductive organs.

3.4. Economics

Fertility levels exerted significant effect on economics of hybrid rice production. The gross return, net return and return rupee⁻¹ invested increased steadily up to F_3 fertility level and maximum gross return (₹ 75527 ha⁻¹ in 2010 and ₹ 73709 ha⁻¹ in 2011), net return (₹ 47598 ha⁻¹ in 2010 and ₹ 44398 ha⁻¹ in

2011) and return rupee⁻¹ invested (2.70 and 2.51) were obtained at this fertility level (F_3) during both the years and were closely followed by the crop at high fertility level (F_4) in 2010 when, both F_3 and F_4 fertility levels paid significantly higher gross return, net return and return rupee⁻¹ invested than those recorded at F_1 and F_2 fertility levels (Table 5). But in 2011, the economic parameters of F_2 were comparable to those of F_4 . The crop at low fertility (F_1) paid the lowest gross return (₹ 47877 ha⁻¹ in 2010 and ₹ 53254 in 2011), net return (₹ 23598 ha⁻¹ in 2010 and ₹ 25745 in 2011) and return rupee⁻¹ invested (1.97 and 1.94 in 2010 and 2011 respectively). The study indicated the need of adequate nutrition of hybrid rice for greater productivity and higher profit (Jin et al., 2002; Krishnakumar et al., 2005).

The hybrid rice responded well to plant density in respect of its economics. High plant density (P_3) paid the highest gross (₹ 69458 ha⁻¹ in 2010 and ₹ 68049 ha⁻¹ in 2011) and net return (₹ 41961 ha⁻¹ in 2010 and ₹ 38605 ha⁻¹ in 2011) from hybrid rice (Table 5) and were closely followed by the crop at medium plant density (P_2). Both P_2 and P_3 recorded significantly greater gross and net returns than those at low plant density (P_1). The low plant density registered the lowest gross (₹ 61025 ha⁻¹ in 2010 and ₹ 61110 ha⁻¹ in 2011) and net returns (₹ 35168 ha⁻¹ in 2010 and ₹ 32816 ha⁻¹ in 2011). Return rupee⁻¹ invested, however, did not vary much among the plant densities during both the years. The results suggest the need of planting hybrid rice at a relatively higher plant density (Wang et al., 2001).

3.5. Interaction effect

Plant density and fertility exerted significant interaction effect on different growth attributes, yield components, yield and economics of hybrid rice. As both the varieties responded more or less similarly to fertility and plant density, the interaction effects were estimated on average value of the two varieties grown in different years. Tillering, LAI at 65 and 85 DAT, DMA at 65 and 85 DAT and CGR during the period of 65-85 DAT of hybrid rice were markedly influenced due to interaction of plant density and fertility (Table 6). The high tillering, LAI values at 65 and 85 DAT, DMA at 65 and 85 DAT and CGR between 65-85 DAT were recorded in crop at high plant density receiving high fertility level (P₂F₄); but were comparable to those of the crop at P₂F₃ P₂F₄ and P₃F₃ combinations in most of the cases. These plant density and fertility combinations recorded much higher values of the above growth variables than what obtained with other combinations. The crop at low plant density with low fertility level (P,F,) produced the lowest values of all the above growth attributes which were significantly lower than those obtained at higher plant densities and fertility levels. Higher levels plant density and fertility were conducive for exploiting early vegetative growth by triggering tillering and LAI that helped in improving the growth of hybrid rice at later stages (Peng et al., 2006).

Panicle production, grain filling, yield and economics of

hybrid rice were responded well to the interaction between plant density and fertility level. Except filled grains panicle⁻¹. all other parameters were increased by increasing the level of plant density and fertility. The highest number of filled grains panicle⁻¹ was recorded in crop grown at low plant density (P₁) receiving F₃ fertility and were closely followed by of the crop at P₁F₄, P₂F₃ and P₂F₄ combinations. The Crop at higher level of plant density and fertility showed significant reduction in number of filled grains panicle⁻¹. This might be due to wide spacing at low plant density provided better scope of healthy panicles for greater grain filling (Bera and Pramanik, 2013). The number of panicles m⁻², grain yield, straw yield and gross and net returns from hybrid rice were increased greatly due to increasing levels of fertility and plant density combinations. Low plant density at with low fertility level (F,P₁) produced the lowest number of panicles m⁻².

Fertility level and plant density recorded significant interaction effect on grain and straw yield. The highest grain yield (7039 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded in crop having 125 kg N, 62.5 kg P₂O₅ and 62.5 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ at medium plant density (F₂P₂) and was closely followed by the crop at the same or higher fertility level with other plant densities. But the highest straw yield (8740 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded in crop receiving 150 kg N, 75 kg P₂O₅ and 75 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ at high plant density (F₄P₃) and was closely followed by of the crop having 125 kg N, 62.5 kg P₂O₅ and 62.5 kg K_2O ha⁻¹ at high plant density (F_3P_3) . The lowest grain (4111 kg ha⁻¹) and straw (4656 kg ha⁻¹) yields were obtained from the crop grown with low fertility level at low plant density. The results advocate to plant hybrid rice at medium plant density (33 hills m⁻²) with 125 kg N, 62.5 kg P₂O₅ and 62.5 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ (F₂P₂) for producing high grain yield during *kharif* season under lateritic belt of West Bengal. The results corroborate the findings of Bera and Pramanik (2013).

The fertility and plant density had significant interaction effect on gross and net returns from hybrid rice cultivation. The highest gross (₹ 87970 ha⁻¹) and net returns (₹ 59695 ha⁻¹) were paid by the crop at medium plant density (33 hills m⁻²) receiving 125 kg N, 62.5 kg P₂O₅ and 62.5 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ (F₂P₂) and was followed by the crop at the high plant density (50 hills m⁻²) with same fertility level and/or by the crop at medium or high plant densities with high fertility level (150 kg N, 75 kg P₂O₅ and 75 kg K₂O ha⁻¹); but was significantly superior to all other combinations of fertility and plant densities. The lowest gross (₹ 51934 ha⁻¹) and net returns (₹ 28705 ha⁻¹) were paid by the crop with low fertility level (75 kg N, 37.5 kg P_2O_5 and 37.5 kg K_2O ha⁻¹) at low plant density (F_1P_1) and was markedly lower than those recorded at all other fertility and plant density combinations. The combination of medium fertility level and plant density (F,P,) was found suitable for increasing productivity and profitability of hybrid rice under West Bengal situation.



| Table 5: Effect of fertility level and plant density on economics of hybrid rice production | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|-----------------------|----------|------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Fertility level | | 2010 (PH | - | | 2011 (25P25) | | | | | | | |
| (NPK kg ha ⁻¹) | Cultivation | Gross* | Net | Return ₹-1 | Cost of | Gross return | Net | Return | | | | |
| | cost (₹ ha ⁻¹) | return | return | invested | cultivation | (₹ ha ⁻¹) | return | ₹-1 | | | | |
| | | (₹ ha ⁻¹) | (₹ ha-1) | | (₹ ha ⁻¹) | | (₹ ha ⁻¹) | invested | | | | |
| \mathbf{F}_{1} | 24279 | 47877 | 23598 | 1.97 | 27509 | 53254 | 25745 | 1.94 | | | | |
| F_2 | 26466 | 65764 | 39298 | 2.48 | 28410 | 64911 | 36501 | 2.28 | | | | |
| F_3 | 27929 | 75527 | 47598 | 2.70 | 29311 | 73709 | 44398 | 2.51 | | | | |
| F_4 | 28535 | 74696 | 46161 | 2.62 | 30211 | 67923 | 37712 | 2.25 | | | | |
| SEm <u>+</u> | | 924 | 862 | 0.061 | | 1191 | 801 | 0.060 | | | | |
| CD(p=0.05) | | 2709 | 2527 | 0.19 | | 3493 | 2350 | 0.18 | | | | |
| Plant density (pla | nts m ⁻²) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| P ₁ (25) | 25857 | 61025 | 35168 | 2.36 | 28294 | 61110 | 32816 | 2.16 | | | | |
| P ₂ (33) | 27052 | 67414 | 40362 | 2.49 | 28844 | 65688 | 36844 | 2.28 | | | | |
| $P_{3}(50)$ | 27498 | 69458 | 41960 | 2.53 | 29444 | 68049 | 38605 | 2.31 | | | | |
| SEm± | | 800 | 746 | 0.06 | | 1031 | 694 | 0.052 | | | | |
| CD(p=0.05) | | 2346 | 2189 | NS | | 3025 | 2035 | NS | | | | |

*Based on cost of inputs N: ₹ 16 kg⁻¹; P: ₹ 37 kg⁻¹; K: ₹ 10 kg⁻¹; FYM: ₹ 1000 t⁻¹; Seed: ₹ 200 kg⁻¹; Labour: ₹ 100 manday⁻¹; Puddling: ₹ 600 ha⁻¹; Irrigation: ₹ 800 ha⁻¹; Plant protection: ₹ 500 ha⁻¹; Price of grain: ₹ 11500 t⁻¹; Price of straw: ₹ 1000 t⁻¹

Table 6: Interaction effect of fertility level and plant density on tillering, LAI, DMA, CGR, panicle production, grain filling, crop productivity, and economics of hybrid rice (average data of 2 years)

| Treatments | Tillers m ⁻² | | | L | AI at 65 DA | ΛT | LAI at 85 DAT | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|--|------------------|--|
| | $\overline{P_1}$ | P_2 | $\overline{P_3}$ | $\overline{P_1}$ | P ₂ | $\overline{P_3}$ | $\overline{P_1}$ | P ₂ | $\overline{P_3}$ | |
| $\mathbf{F_{1}}^{*}$ | 252 | 305 | 414 | 3.41 | 3.76 | 4.51 | 1.20 | 1.29 | 1.39 | |
| F_2 | 290 | 337 | 480 | 4.22 | 4.89 | 5.27 | 1.46 | 1.54 | 1.57 | |
| $\overline{F_3}$ | 329 | 401 | 521 | 4.91 | 5.45 | 6.15 | 1.62 | 1.57 | 1.75 | |
| \mathbf{F}_{4} | 350 | 416 | 532 | 5.34 | 5.88 | 5.96 | 1.34 | 1.72 | 2.07 | |
| CD(p=0.05) | | 54 | | | 0.65 | | | 0.18 | | |
| | DMA | (g m ⁻²) at 65 | DAT | DMA | (g m ⁻²) at 8: | 5 DAT | CGR (g 1 | m ⁻² day ⁻¹) at 6 | 5-85 DAT | |
| \mathbf{F}_{1} | 573 | 622 | 677 | 752 | 826 | 857 | 8.1 | 10.2 | 9.9 | |
| F_2 | 664 | 786 | 821 | 921 | 1021 | 1097 | 12.7 | 12.0 | 13.8 | |
| F_3 | 785 | 823 | 925 | 1110 | 1174 | 1281 | 16.1 | 17.6 | 17.9 | |
| F_4 | 807 | 880 | 949 | 1127 | 1210 | 1326 | 16.6 | 17.0 | 17.9 | |
| CD(p=0.05) | 118.0 | | | 150.0 | | | 4.5 | | | |
| | Panicles m ⁻² | | | Gı | rains panicl | e-1 | Grain yield (kg ha ⁻¹) | | | |
| \mathbf{F}_{1} | 178.8 | 214.7 | 336.9 | 114 | 102 | 87 | 4297 | 4650 | 4894 | |
| F_2 | 197.1 | 260.6 | 345.6 | 133 | 117 | 100 | 5611 | 6074 | 6414 | |
| F_3 | 229.9 | 275.2 | 375.8 | 157 | 149 | 128 | 6397 | 6986 | 7105 | |
| F_4 | 236.3 | 294.0 | 378.5 | 148 | 140 | 134 | 6322 | 6803 | 6682 | |
| CD (<i>p</i> =0.05) | | 30 | | | 15.0 | | | 657 | | |
| | Stra | w yield (kg | ha-1) | Gros | ss return (₹ | ha ⁻¹) | Net return (₹ ha ⁻¹) | | | |
| \mathbf{F}_{1} | 5134 | 5567 | 5656 | 48512 | 50155 | 53030 | 21256 | 25620 | 27138 | |
| F_2 | 5829 | 6135 | 6698 | 60555 | 66223 | 69235 | 34500 | 38905 | 40293 | |
| F_3 | 6409 | 6857 | 7986 | 69564 | 76236 | 78054 | 42245 | 46933 | 48815 | |
| $\mathrm{F_4}$ | 6749 | 7232 | 8413 | 65641 | 73591 | 74696 | 37968 | 42955 | 44886 | |
| CD (<i>p</i> =0.05) | | 1060 | | | 5370 | | | 4224 | | |

4. Conclusion

The results of this investigation indicates that hybrid rice needs to be planted at moderate plant density with relatively higher fertility level for better growth (higher number of tillers m⁻², high LAI acted over the period of grain filling and greater DMA) that are responsible for producing high crop yield with greater profit. The results advocate that hybrid rice be planted at medium plant density with medium fertility $(P_a \times F_a)$ for higher yield with greater profit during kharif season.

5. References

- Bera, A.K., Pramanik, K., 2013. Response of rice hybrids to nitrogen levels under lateritic zone of West Bengal, India. International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management 4(2), 139-143.
- Buresh, R.J., Witt, C., Ramanathan, R., Mishra, B., Chandrasekaran, B., Rajendra, R., 2005. Site-specific nutrient management: Managing N, P and K for rice. Fertilizer News 50(3), 25-
- Cochran, W.G., Cox, G.M., 1977. Experimental Designs. Asia Publishing House, Kolkata, 95-132 and 142-181.
- FAO, 2009. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. World agriculture: towards 2030/2050. Summary Report. Rome, Italy.
- Ghosh, R.K., Sharma, L., Barman, S., Dolai, A.K., 2009. System of rice Intensification: The alternate approach for increasing production of field crops. Journal of Crop and Weed 5, 63-67.
- Hu, R., Cao, J., Huang, J., Peng, S., Zhong, X., Zou, Y., Yang, J., Buresh, R.J., 2007. Farmer participatory testing of standard and modified site-specific nitrogen management for irrigated rice in China. Agricultural Science 94, 331-340.
- Huang, J., He, F., Cui, K., Buresh, R.J., Xu, B., Gong, W., Peng, S., 2008. Determination of optimal nitrogen rate for rice varieties using a chlorophyll meter. Field Crops Research 105, 70-80.
- Jayawardena, S.N., Abeysekera, S.W., 2005. Effect of plant spacing on the yield of hybrid rice. Annual Report. Rice Research and Development Institute, Betalagoda, Ibbagamuwa, Srilanka, 1-5.
- Jin, J., Wu, R., Liu, R., 2002. Rice production and fertilization in China. Better Crops International 16, 26-29.
- Krishnakumar, S., Nagarajan, R., Natarajan, S.K., Jawahar, D., Pandian, B.J., 2005. NPK fertilizers for hybrid rice (Oryza sativa L.) productivity in alfisols of southern districts of Tamil Nadu. Asian Journal of Plant Sciences 4(6), 574-
- Lin, X., Zhu, D., Chen, H., Zhang, Y., 2009. Effects of plant density and nitrogen application rate on grain yield and nitrogen uptake of super hybrid rice. Rice Science 16(2), 138-142.
- Nguyen, N.V., 2010. Ensuring food security in the 21st century

- with hybrid rice: issues and challenges. In: Xie, F., Hardy, B. (Eds.). Accelerating hybrid rice development. International Rice Research Institute, Manila, Philippines. pp. 9-24.
- Pattanayak, S.K., Mukhi, S.K., Majumdar, K., 2008. Phosphate fertilizer management of hybrid rice. Better Crops 92(3),
- Peng, S., Buesh, R.J., Huang, J., Yang, J., Zou, Y., Zhong, X., Wang, G., Zhang, F., 2006. Strategies for overcoming low agronomic nitrogen use efficiency in irrigated rice system in China. Field Crops Research 96, 37-47.
- Peng, S., Huang, J., Zhong, X., Yang, J., Wang, G., Zou, Y., Zhang, F., Zhu, Q., Buesh, R.J., Witt, C., 2002. Challenge and oppoptunity in improving fertilizer nitrogen use efficiency of irrigated rice in China. Agricultural Science China 1(7), 776-785.
- Peng, S., Tang, Q., Huang, J., Zou, Y., Cui, K., Zhang, Y., He, F., Laza, R.C., Visperas, R.M., 2010. Yield attributes and nitrogen-use efficiency of "super" hybrid rice. In: Xie, F., Hardy, B. (Eds.), Accelerating hybrid rice development. International Rice Research Institute, Manila, Philippines, 419-28.
- Pramanik, K., Bera, A.K., Panda, D., 2013. Response of different sources of phosphate fertilizers and homo-brassinolide on total chlorophyll content, yield attributes and yield of hybrid rice under lateritic zone of West Bengal. International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management 4(1), 14-18.
- Qing X., 2010. Strategies to develop the hybrid rice industrial economy in China. In: Xie, F., Hardy, B. (Eds.), Accelerating hybrid rice development. International Rice Research Institute, Manila, Philippines, 505-515.
- Siddiq, E.A., Ahmed, M.I., Viraktamath, B.C., Rangawamy, M., Kumar, R.V., Vidyachandra, B., Zaman, F.U., Chatterjee, S.D., 1996. In: Virmani, S.S., Siddiq, E.A., Muralidharan, K. (Eds.), Hybrid rice technology in India: Current status and future outlook. Proceeding of the 3rd International Symposium on hybrid rice, Hyderabad, India, 311-324.
- Wang, G.H., Dobermann, A., Witt, C., Sun, Q.Z., Fu, R.X., 2001. Performance of site-specific nutrient management for irrigated rice in southeast China. Agronomy Journal 93, 869-878.
- Watson, D.J., 1952. The physiological basis of variation in yield. Advances in Agronomy 4, 101-145.
- Xie, W., Wang, G., Zhang, Q., 2007. Potential production simulation and optimal nutrient management of two hybrid rice varieties in Jinhua, Zhejiang Province. Journal of Zhejiang University Science 8(7), 486-492.
- Zhu, D., Lin, X., Zhang, Y., Chen, H., 2010. Physiological characteristics of hybrid rice and cultivation techniques for high yield. In: Xie, F., Hardy, B. (Eds.), Accelerating hybrid rice development. International Rice Research Institute, Manila, Philippines, 429-440.

